Mono Lake or Laschamp geomagnetic event recorded from lava flows in Amsterdam Island (southeastern Indian Ocean)
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SUMMARY
We report a survey carried out on basalt flows from Amsterdam Island (Southeastern Indian Ocean) in order to check the presence of intermediate directions interpreted as belonging to a geomagnetic field excursion within the Brunhes epoch, completing this palaeomagnetic record with palaeointensity determinations and radiometric dating. Because the palaeomagnetic sampling was done over a few hours during the resupply of the French scientific base Martin du Viviers by the Marion Dufresne vessel, we could collect only 29 samples from four lava flows. The directional results corroborate the findings by Watkins & Nougier: normal polarity is found for two units and an intermediate direction, with associated virtual geomagnetic poles (VGPs) close to the equator, for the other two units. A notable result is that these volcanic rocks are well suited for absolute palaeointensity determinations. 50 per cent of the samples yields reliable intensity values with high-quality factors. An original element of this study is that we made use of the thermomagnetic criterion PTRM-tail test of Shcherbakova et al. to help in the interpretation of the palaeointensity measurements. Doing this, only the high-temperature intervals, beyond 400°C, were retained to obtain the most reliable estimate of the strength of the ancient magnetic field. However, not applying the PTRM-tail test does not change the flow-mean values significantly because the samples we selected by conventional criteria for estimating the palaeointensity carry only a small proportion of their remanence below 400°C. The normal units yield virtual dipole moments (VDM) of 6.2 and 7.7 (10^22Am²) and the excursional units yield values of 3.7 and 3.4 (10^22Am²). These results are quite consistent with the other Thellier determinations from Brunhes excursion records, all characterized by a decrease of the VDM as the VGP latitude decreases. 40Ar/39Ar isotopic age determinations provide an estimate of 26 ± 15 and 18 ± 9 kyr for the transitional lava flows, which could correspond to the Mono Lake excursion. However, the large error bars associated with these ages do not exclude the hypothesis that this event is the Laschamp.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Just over 20 years ago Hoffman (1981) concluded from the characteristics of the Earth’s magnetic field observed during geomagnetic excursions that at least some excursions are aborted reversals. This implies that palaeomagnetic field variations derived from such records can be interpreted as transitional fields. Recently, Gubbins (1999) proposed a physical explanation for this hypothesis. According to Gubbins excursions may occur when two reversals of opposite sense follow one another in the liquid outer core, which has timescales of approximately 500 yr, within such a short time interval that the field does not have enough time to reverse its polarity in the solid inner core, which occurs by diffusion with typical timescales of 3 kyr. In other words, he considers that the longer dynamic timescales of the solid inner core delay full reversals, which are not complete until the magnetic field reverses its polarity throughout the whole Earth’s core. This physical distinction between successful and unsuccessful reversals agrees with both the duration of excursions, estimated at a few thousands years, and with their number of approximately ten observed within the Brunhes period (from 780 kyr to the present time) (Langereis et al. 1997). To validate such models one must know the exact duration of excursions.
and the frequency of their occurrences between two consecutive full reversals. However, even for the Brunhes period, we are not yet able to precisely describe the excursion succession and their individual characteristics. Some excursions are not clearly established and the global occurrence of some others is questioned (Langereis et al. 1997). For example, the Mono Lake excursion (∼28 ka) is observed only in sediments from western North America (Liddicoat & Coe 1979; Levi & Karlin 1989; Liddicoat 1992, 1996) and from the Arctic Sea (Nowaczyk & Antonow 1997), and thus may only reflect a strong regional secular variation feature. To date only six excursions within the Brunhes period seem to be well established, accurately dated and appear to occur globally. This is the case for Laschamp (40–45 ka), Blake (110–120 ka), Jamaica/Pringle Falls (218 ± 10 ka), Calabrian Ridge 1 (315–325 ka) and 2 (515–525 ka) and Emperor/Big Lost (560–570 ka) (see e.g. Langereis et al. 1997, and references therein for a review). Nevertheless, it has not yet been completely ascertained whether global excursions are synchronous all over the globe or start at some locations before spreading over the entire core surface. The answer to this question is of importance for both geodynamo models and correlations of high-resolution sedimentary sequences. Furthermore, the available data set is somewhat incomplete: most of the excursions have been mainly described from recordings in sediments, that are less reliable than volcanic rocks in term of palaeodirection, particularly when the field intensity is low, which is a common characteristic of the Brunhes excursions (Guyodo & Valet 1999). It is, however, not surprisingly that the volcanic recordings are rare, since due to the sporadic character of the volcanic extrusion rate and the short duration assumed for excursions, the probability for a lava flow to occur during an excursion is very small. Consequently, few studies have been carried out on absolute palaeointensities during Brunhes excursions (Roperch et al. 1988; Chauvin et al. 1989; Levi et al. 1990; Schnepp & Hradetzky 1994; Quidelleur et al. 1999; Zha et al. 2000).

In this context, we decided to re-examine a geomagnetic excursion assumed to belong to the Brunhes period and previously described by Watkins & Nougier (1973) in lava flows from Amsterdam Island (southeastern Indian Ocean). This event has never been radiometrically dated. Our objectives were first to complete the palaeomagnetic record with palaeointensity determinations and to identify this geomagnetic event by radiometric dating. We also wanted to check the age relations between each of the four sampled volcanic units belong to the youngest formation, ranging from olivine tholeiite to plagioclase basalt. From a structural point of view, this volcanic island corresponds to a double strato-volcano (Gunn et al. 1971). The first cycle of activity is represented by a volcano centred on the southern part of the island, where Mount du Fernand and Le Pinion correspond to remnants of a volcanic caldera (Fig. 1). The western flank of this early volcano collapsed along two main faults oriented NW–SE and NE–SW, respectively, creating an approximately 800 m vertical offset and an unreachable escarpment that exposes a multitude of thin lava flows. The second cycle of activity built the Mount de la Dives volcano, which is a simple almost symmetrical volcanic cone rising to 881 m above sea level. This episode represents a displacement of the eruptive centre of 2 km towards the eastnortheast. The caldera on top of this young cone preserves evidence of a lava lake filled by several episodes of lava effusion which overspilled as voluminous, pahoehoe lava flows. The flanks of this volcano have regular slopes, 7°–13°, and are not dissected by erosion. Marine erosion has formed a cliff 25–50 m high at sea level around the island, which makes access from the sea difficult. Although radiometric ages were not available prior to the present study, the nearly pristine morphology leaves no doubt that this volcanic activity is recent. Some parasitic cinder cones exist on the lower flanks the of Mount de la Dives volcano. The youngest one is assumed to have formed during the last century.

The palaeomagnetic samples were taken over few hours during the resupply of the permanent scientific station by the Marion-Dufresne vessel. Because of the limited schedule, we decided to confine the sampling to the northwestern coast where Watkins & Nougier (1973) studied six lava flows in a restricted area. Four of these flows provided excursional directions. We grouped Watkins & Nougier’s (1973) flows 17 and 18, because of their confusing boundary geometries, into a single unit (am1). Probably they constitute a compound lava flow corresponding to a single volcanic eruption. Their flow 19, herein called am2, is located several metres above and corresponds undoubtedly to a different volcanic eruption. Unfortunately, we were not able to reach Watkins & Nougier’s (1973) flows 13–15, because of the presence in this sector of numerous fur seals, which made the access dangerous. Instead, we sampled two previously unstudied flows (am3 and am4; Fig. 1). Seven cores from each of flows am1–2 and 4 and eight from flow am3 were drilled using a gasoline-powered portable drill and were oriented with respect to geographic north by means of both solar sightings and magnetic compass plus a clinometer. Based on stratigraphic relationships, all four sampled volcanic units belong to the youngest flows of the Mount de la Dives volcano. On the basis of field observations, flow am4 is certainly younger than the other three. We have, on the other hand, no way to decipher the age relations between flows am1–2 and flow am3.

## 3 Palaeodirection Determinations

### 3.1 Experimental procedure

For the analysis of remanence direction, we first treated a pilot sample from each flow using a detailed experimental procedure involving up to 13 alternating fields (AF) cleaning steps in order to check the possible presence of unstable remanence components. Because of the simple behaviour of remanence upon cleaning (Fig. 2), we used only four or five AF steps for the remaining samples.
Measurements of remanent magnetization were carried out with a JR-5A spinner magnetometer and the AF treatments, with a laboratory-built AF demagnetizer in which the sample is stationary and subjected to peak fields up to 140 mT. The analysis of the demagnetization diagrams is straightforward for all the samples except one, sample 693 from flows am3 was contaminated by a significant parasitic magnetization of unknown origin. We determined the characteristic remanent magnetization (ChRM) by means of the principal-component analysis using the maximum angular deviation (MAD) (Kirschvink 1980) as a measure of the inherent scatter in directions. In order to check whether the principal component was a robust estimate of the sample ChRM, we compared this direction with the fitting line constrained through the origin. When the angle between these two directions exceeds the MAD (Audunsson & Levi 1997) we concluded that the principal component was statistically different from the ChRM, and thus that the ChRM was not perfectly isolated. This method led to the rejection of only one sample (693) from further analysis, considering that no ChRM could be successfully determined for this sample. We averaged the directions thus obtained by flow, and calculated the statistical parameters assuming a Fisherian distribution (Table 1). The ChRM directions are well clustered in each flow with rather small values of the 95 per cent confidence cone about the mean direction (α95), all ≤5°.

3.2 Palaeodirection results

The directional results obtained for flows am1 and am2 corroborate exactly the finding by Watkins & Nougier (1973): an intermediate polarity with associated virtual geomagnetic poles (VGP) close to the equator is found. This result is not surprising in so far as the remanence of the lava from Amsterdam is not contaminated by significant spurious components. This was not the case, for example, in a recent study on the volcanic sequence from Possession Island (Camps et al. 2001), where the authors concluded that the intermediate directions initially described by Watkins et al. (1972) correspond to reversed directions, which had been incompletely cleaned of their present-day field viscous overprint. Here, we believe that the previously published data for Amsterdam Island (Watkins & Nougier 1973), which were not resampled in the present study are, equally reliable.

Because flows am1 and am2 yield two similar directions and because they are in a single sequence on a small cliff, one can ask...
C. Carvallo et al.

Figure 2. Orthogonal projections of alternating-field demagnetization for one pilot sample from each lava flow. Solid (open) symbols represent projection into horizontal (vertical) planes.

Table 1. Directional results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow</th>
<th>n/N</th>
<th>Inc.</th>
<th>Dec.</th>
<th>$\alpha_{95}$</th>
<th>$\kappa$</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>Lat.</th>
<th>Long.</th>
<th>$\chi$</th>
<th>$J_{\text{20}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>am1</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>238.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>144.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>287.8</td>
<td>1721.2</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am2</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>238.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>228.1</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>281.1</td>
<td>1812.9</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am3</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>356.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>217.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>1740.4</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am4</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>249.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>205.6</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n/N is the number of samples used in the analysis/total number of samples collected; Inc. and Dec. are the mean inclination positive downward and declination east of north, respectively; $\alpha_{95}$ and $\kappa$ are the 95 per cent confidence cone about average direction and the concentration parameter of Fisher statistics, respectively; $\delta$ is the reversal angle measured in degrees from the direction of the dipole axial field direction; Lat. and Long. are latitude and longitude of the corresponding VGP position, respectively; $\chi$ is the geometric mean susceptibility ($\times 10^{-6}$ SI); $J_{\text{20}}$ A m$^{-1}$ is the geometric mean remanence intensity in A m$^{-1}$ measured after a magnetic treatment of 20 mT. The flow am1 corresponds to Watkins & Nougier’s (1973) flows 17 and 18 combined (see text for explanation) and am2 to their flow 19.

whether the time elapsed between these two flows is long enough to consider them separately. To try to reply briefly, we performed the bootstrap test for a common mean (Tauxe 1998). Because the 95 per cent bound interval for the Cartesian Y and Z coordinates calculated for these two directions do not overlap each other (Fig. 3), we concluded that these directions are statistically different and thus can be analysed individually. Flows am3 and am4 give normal directions. They can be used to complete Watkins & Nougier’s (1973) data set in order to estimate the amplitude of secular variation from the Indian Ocean for the Brunhes period.

4 PalaEOINTENSITY Determinations

4.1 Experimental procedure

Paleointensity determinations were carried out using the classical Thellier & Thellier (1959) method. The samples are heated twice at each temperature step, in the presence of a field positive for the first heating and negative for the second heating. Partial thermoremanent magnetization (pTRM) checks were performed every two steps in order to detect magnetic changes during heating. We used a laboratory field of 30 $\mu$T aligned along the core z-axis. All heatings and coolings were performed in a vacuum better than $10^{-4}$ mbar with the intention of reducing mineralogical changes during heatings, which are usually due to oxidation. Samples were heated in 14 steps between 150 and 580 °C, by 50 °C between 150 and 500 °C, then 20 °C steps between 500 and 540 °C, and finally 10 °C steps.
between 540 and 580 °C. Each heating–cooling step required approximately 10 h. Because palaeointensity measurements require time-consuming procedures, it is important to detect unsuitable samples before carrying out the full experiments.

4.2 Sample selection and rock magnetism properties

Volcanic rocks used for absolute palaeointensity determinations must satisfy the following conditions.

(1) The natural remanent magnetization (NRM) of samples must consist of a single component close to the mean characteristic remanence direction of the flow. In addition, the viscosity index (Thellier & Thellier 1944) must be small enough to obtain reliable data during the heating demagnetization steps at low temperatures. We found that all the samples have magnetic viscosity coefficients smaller than 4 per cent; therefore no samples were eliminated on this basis, except sample 693, which shows a strong secondary component of magnetization.

(2) The magnetic properties of the samples must be thermally stable. To check this, we performed continuous low-field magnetic susceptibility measurements under vacuum (better than 10⁻² mbar) as a function of temperature (Fig. 4) for the 28 remaining samples. The device used for this experiment is a Bartington susceptibility meter MS2 equipped with a furnace in which the heating and cooling rates remain constant at 7 °C min⁻¹. Seven samples having irreversible thermomagnetic curves were rejected for the final selection of palaeointensity results. Mean Curie temperatures (Prérot et al. 1983) vary between 450 and 570 °C (Table 2). These values also indicate that the magnetic carriers are mainly Ti-poor titanomagnetite (x < 0.2) (Dunlop & Özdemir 1997).

(3) The remanence carriers must be single-domain (SD) or pseudo-single-domain (PSD) grains. It is widely accepted that multi-domain (MD) grains give erroneous results because of the inequality of their blocking and unblocking temperatures and the influence of the thermal prehistory on pTRM intensity (Vinogradov & Markov 1989). In order to determine the domain structure, we measured the hysteresis parameters using an alternative gradient force magnetometer at the Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico. According to the criteria defined by Day et al. (1977) all the hysteresis parameters are in the PSD part of the plot (Fig. 5 and Table 2). However, a mixture of SD and MD grains could give the same result. Most samples are characterized by a very high median destructive field (Table 2), which is further evidence of the presence of SD–PSD grains.
The parameters used as criteria for the preliminary data selection are de-

ted on a log–log scale. Solid (open) symbols correspond to accepted (re-
jected) samples for palaeointensity experiments on the basis of selection

4.3 Preliminary selection of palaeointensity data

The parameters used as criteria for the preliminary data selection are defined as follows, based on selection criteria commonly used for palaeointensity experiments.

(1) The number \( N \) of successive points on the linear segments chosen to calculate the palaeointensity must be at least four.

(2) The fraction of NRM destroyed on this segment must be greater than one-third.

Table 2. Thermomagnetic and rock magnetism properties of thermally stable samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( T_C ) (°C)</th>
<th>MDF</th>
<th>( M_{rs}/M_s )</th>
<th>( H_{cr}/H_c )</th>
<th>300–Tr (A)</th>
<th>400–300 (A)</th>
<th>500–400 (A)</th>
<th>550–500 (A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow am1</td>
<td>681 ± 21, 581 ± 14</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>31 (13)</td>
<td>23 (10)</td>
<td>10 (23)</td>
<td>4 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>689 ± 32, 540 ± 10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>43 (7)</td>
<td>60 (7)</td>
<td>29 (30)</td>
<td>8 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>670 ± 88, 572 ± 8</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>36 (17)</td>
<td>35 (14)</td>
<td>9 (35)</td>
<td>5 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>671 ± 15, 587 ± 20</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>29 (13)</td>
<td>32 (9)</td>
<td>12 (36)</td>
<td>4 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>673 ± 38</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>27 (12)</td>
<td>30 (10)</td>
<td>7 (51)</td>
<td>6 (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>674 ± 11, 572 ± 18</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>26 (n.d)</td>
<td>26 (n.d)</td>
<td>8 (n.d)</td>
<td>n.d (n.d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow am2</td>
<td>675 ± 68</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>32 (7)</td>
<td>37 (6)</td>
<td>11 (30)</td>
<td>2 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>680 ± 54</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>46 (14)</td>
<td>60 (13)</td>
<td>11 (42)</td>
<td>3 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow am3</td>
<td>690 ± 20</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>35 (8)</td>
<td>40 (7)</td>
<td>11 (30)</td>
<td>4 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>691 ± 30, 561 ± 17</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>38 (7)</td>
<td>43 (6)</td>
<td>12 (30)</td>
<td>4 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>692 ± 9, 560 ± 20</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>33 (7)</td>
<td>37 (5)</td>
<td>13 (27)</td>
<td>2 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>694 ± 23</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>42 (5)</td>
<td>38 (5)</td>
<td>10 (24)</td>
<td>2 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>695 ± 32, 561 ± 19</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>46 (7)</td>
<td>53 (6)</td>
<td>10 (32)</td>
<td>3 (55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow am4</td>
<td>684 ± 23</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>44 (4)</td>
<td>37 (5)</td>
<td>8 (23)</td>
<td>3 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>685 ± 34, 547 ± 7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>49 (10)</td>
<td>42 (10)</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td>5 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>686 ± 50 ± 10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>n.d</td>
<td>48 (22)</td>
<td>40 (21)</td>
<td>8 (32)</td>
<td>6 (25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( T_C \) is the mean Curie temperature (°C) calculated according to the method of Prévot et al. (1983). The confidence intervals for the Curie temperatures indicate the temperature range in which the KT curve correspond to a straight line. MDF is the median destructive alternating field in mT; \( M_{rs}/M_s \) and \( H_{cr}/H_c \) are the hysteresis parameters; \( A \) values are the relative intensities measured at room temperature of the pTRM tail expressed in per cent \( A(T_1, T_2) = \sum pTRM(T_1, T_2)/pTRM(T_1, T_2) \). Values shown in parentheses correspond to the per cent of the total pTRM (e.g. \( \sum pTRM(T_1, T_2) \) each pTRM \( T_1, T_2 \) represents; intensities are measured at room temperature. nd means not determined. Note that sample 674 broke before the acquisition of the pTRM(550–500) was completed. Thus, we were not able to calculate \( B \) values for this sample.

(3) The MAD calculated with the principal component calculated in the temperature interval used for palaeointensity estimate must be less than 15° and the angle \( \alpha \) between the vector average and this principal component must also be less than 15° (Selkin & Tauxe 2000).

(4) The pTRM checks have to be positive, i.e. the deviation of pTRM quantified by the difference ratio (Selkin & Tauxe 2000), which corresponds to the maximum difference between repeat pTRM steps normalized by the length of the selected NRM–pTRM segment, has to be less than 10 per cent before and within the linear segment. Failure of a pTRM check is an indication of irreversible magnetic and/or chemical changes in the ferromagnetic minerals during the laboratory heating.

4.4 pTRM-tail test

In order to give us some indication concerning the domain states as a function of the temperature, we performed the pTRM-tail test that was first introduced by Bol’shakov & Shcherbakova (1979) and later modified by Shcherbakova et al. (2000). The principle of this test is as follows: if a sample is given a pTRM on an interval \( [T_1, T_2] \) \( T_1 > T_2 \), then is heated up to \( T_1 \) and cooled down in zero field, the pTRM will be completely demagnetized only if the remanence carrier is single domain. For pseudo-single-domain or multidomain material, the pTRM will be completely demagnetized only after heating to a temperature higher than \( T_1 \), with this temperature reaching all the way to \( T_C \) for MD grains (Bol’shakov & Shcherbakova 1979). Note that this test can only be applied to samples that do not alter chemically during heating. As discussed later, the Amsterdam

Figure 5. Hysteresis parameters ratio measured at room temperature plotted on a log–log scale. Solid (open) symbols correspond to accepted (rejected) samples for palaeointensity experiments on the basis of selection and reliability criteria discussed in the text.
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samples are unusually stable, permitting wide application of this test.

The pTRM-tail test was carried out using a thermal vibrating magnetometer, which allows the measurement of the magnetic remanence and the induced magnetization of a rock sample. The dimensions of the sample are 11 mm height and 10 mm diameter. The static residual field in the heating zone is less than 20 nT. It is possible to apply a direct field on the sample by sending a constant current to an inner coil placed between the detection coils and the heater. The two detection coils are connected in opposition. The sample is alternatively translated from the centre of the first detection coil to the centre of the other detection coil at a frequency of 3740 Hz. The output signal is directly applied to the current input of the lock-in amplifier pulse width modulated current at a frequency of 13.7 Hz with 25.4 mm amplitude. The heater is powered with an alternating pulse width modulated current at a frequency of 3740 Hz. The output signal is directly applied to the current input of the lock-in amplifier.

PTRM acquisitions were performed in air on sister samples (i.e. adjoining samples from the same palaeomagnetic core), using a 100 µT field, in four different temperature intervals: [300 °C, Troom], [400, 300 °C], [500, 400 °C] and [550, 500 °C]. PTRMs were imparted ‘from above’: the samples were first demagnetized by heating them in zero field to TC, then a field of 100 µT is applied during the cooling down between temperatures T1 and T2, and pTRM(T1, T2) was measured at room temperature. Samples were subsequently heated again to T1, cooled down in zero field, and the tail of pTRM(T1, T2) measured at room temperature. Fig. 6 illustrates the succession of PTRM acquisitions and demagnetizations. We calculated the parameter A defined by

$$A(T_1, T_2) = \frac{\text{tail}[\text{pTRM}(T_1, T_2)]}{\text{pTRM}(T_1, T_2)} \times 100 \%$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

as the relative intensity measured at room temperature of the pTRM tail remaining after heating to T1. According to the criteria defined by Shcherbakova et al. (2000), $A(T_1, T_2) < 4$ per cent corresponds to SD, 4 per cent $< A(T_1, T_2) < 15$ per cent to PSD and $A(T_1, T_2) > 15$ per cent to MD. Table 2 shows the values of $A(T_1, T_2)$ for the four temperature intervals used on the 17 remaining samples. All the samples have an MD response for pTRMs imparted in the intervals [300 °C, T1] and [400, 300 °C]. However, they all have a PSD response (except sample 669 which has an MD response) for the pTRM given in the interval [500, 400 °C], and PSD or SD response for a pTRM given in the interval [550, 500 °C]. Fig. 7 illustrates typical MD and SD thermomagnetic behaviour. Guided by these results we did not include any point on the Arai plot acquired before 400 °C (500 °C for sample 669) to calculate the palaeointensity estimates.

4.5 Palaeointensity results

Results are plotted as NRM lost as a function of pTRM gained on an Arai graph (Nagata et al. 1963). Examples of typical good samples are shown in Fig. 8 with associated orthogonal vector diagrams. 14 samples fulfilled all the criteria defined above and were then considered as yielding reliable results (Table 3). Most results have high-quality factor ($q$) values (between 15 and 70) and the success rate of 50 per cent, calculated over the whole collection, is very high and somewhat unusual for natural rocks.

Averaging four acceptable results, the flow am1 (excursion unit) gives a palaeointensity of 24.6 ± 2 µT and a virtual dipole moment (VDM) of 3.7 × 1022 A m2 (Table 3). The flow am2 (excursion unit) gives an average palaeointensity (using two results) of 24.0 ± 2 µT, corresponding to a VDM of 3.4 × 1022 A m2. The two normal flows (am3 and am4) give averages of 32.8 ± 2 µT (using five values) and 46.9 ± 2 µT (using 3 values), yielding VDMs of 6.2 × 1022 and 7.7 × 1022 A m2, respectively.

5 40Ar/39Ar age determinations

5.1 Analytical procedure

For each sample, 300 mg of whole rock fragments were carefully hand-picked under a binocular microscope from crushed 0.5 mm thick rock slabs. The samples were wrapped in Cu foil to form packets as small as possible (11 × 11 mm²). These packets were stacked up to form a pile within which packets of flux monitors were inserted every 5–10 samples, according to the size of the samples. The stack, put in an irradiation can, was irradiated, with a Cd shield, for 1 h at the McMaster University reactor (Hamilton, Canada) with a total flux of 1.3 × 1017 n cm⁻². The irradiation standard was the Fish–Canyon sanidine (28.02 Ma; Renne et al. 1998).

The sample arrangement allows monitoring of the flux gradient with a precision as low as ±0.2 per cent. The step-heating experiment procedure was described in details by Ruffet et al. (1991). The mass spectrometer consists of a 120 MASSE tube, a Bürz Sänger source and an SEV 217 electron-multiplier (total gain 5 × 1012) whereas the all-metal extraction and purification lines include two SAES GP50W getters with a St101 zirconium–aluminium alloy operating at 400 °C and a –95 °C cold trap. Samples were incrementally heated in a molybdenum crucible using a double-vacuum high-frequency furnace. The extraction segment of the line was pumped for 3 min between each step.

Isotopic measurements are corrected for K and Ca isotopic interferences and mass discrimination. All errors are quoted at the 1σ level and do not include the errors on the 40Ar/39Ar age determination.

Figure 6. Temperature as a function of time for a sample heated and cooled at a constant rate of 5 °C min⁻¹. The curve shows the succession of PTRM acquisitions in an applied field of 100 µT (solid line) during cooling and demagnetizations (dashed line) carried out during the pTRM-tail test. Parameter A is calculated as the ratio of the intensity of the tail of pTRM normalized by the original pTRM, both measured at room temperature.
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5.2 $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar$_K$ results

The very low K and rather high Ca contents of the analysed samples and their very young apparent age were unfavourable parameters for producing high-quality analyses and for obtaining unambiguous results. The classical calculation method using an $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar atmospheric ratio measured on an air aliquot resulted in zero apparent ages for successive degassing steps, probably as a result of an inadequate procedure for determining this ratio. The very high ratio of the measured atmospheric to the radiogenic $^{40}$Ar favours the use of an isochron calculation (correlation method: $^{36}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar versus $^{39}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar$_K$; e.g. Turner 1971; Roddick et al. 1980; Hanes et al. 1985). This method does not require a priori knowledge of the measured $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar atmospheric ratio. Two argon components can be identified using this calculation method: the first one, related to the atmosphere, is usually weakly linked to the mineral; the other one, supplied by radioactive decay of $^{40}$Ar, is trapped in mineral structures. The aim of degassing by steps is to separate these two components at least partly, which allows a mixing line to be defined, the isochron. In whole rock analysis, a meaningful isochron must be calculated on a degassing segment which corresponds to the degassing of a specific mineral phase.

All analysed samples display rather constant CaO/K$_2$O calculated ratios in the intermediate temperature range, around (11 CaO/K$_2$O = $^{37}$Ar$_{Ca}$/ $^{39}$Ar$_K$ × 2.179; Deckart et al. 1997), which suggests degassing of a homogeneous phase, probably plagioclase as observed in thin sections. Very young calculated isochron ages (Fig. 9), concordant at the 2σ level, are obtained from the corresponding degassing steps (Table 4). They suggest that the two sampled lava flows with intermediate directions could be as young as 20–25 ka and the lava flow (am3) with the normal direction slightly older at ca. 45 ka.

6 DISCUSSION

6.1 Reliability of palaeointensity estimates

The determination of absolute palaeointensity by the Thellier method imposes many constraints on rock magnetic properties, which are often not respected in natural rocks. The failure rate is usually around 70–90 per cent (Perrin 1998). We obtained results of very good technical quality for 50 per cent of the samples in this study. Nevertheless, a recent study carried out on historical lava flows from Mount Etna showed that samples that fulfilled all of the reliability criteria imposed by the authors could yield a palaeointensity exceeding the real field palaeomagnitude by as much as 25 per cent (Calvo et al. 2002). It should never be forgotten that measurements of palaeointensity are only estimates. Therefore, we wish to discuss further the reliability of the palaeointensity measurements performed in the present study.

First, we can make sure that the part of NRM used must be a TRM. We compared continuous thermal demagnetization curves of NRM and artificial (total) TRM of sister samples measured using the thermal vibrating magnetometer. The artificial TRM was imparted in the direction of the NRM. Samples have to be drilled in the direction of the NRM; therefore we performed this test only for
three samples because we did not have enough material. A result is shown in Fig. 10. The remarkable similarity between the thermal demagnetization of the NRM and of the artificial TRM suggests that the NRM is a TRM and confirms the thermal stability of the Amsterdam lava.

Thermal stability can be further tested independently by comparing the laboratory Koenigsberger ratios before and after heating. The ratio before heating is calculated according to the formula

\[ Q_L = \frac{M_{\text{arm}}}{k_s H_a} \]  

(2)

where \( M_{\text{arm}} \) is the natural remanent magnetization, \( k_s \) is the bulk magnetic susceptibility measured before palaeointensity experiments and \( H_a \) is the ancient field obtained from the palaeointensity.
Table 3. Accepted palaeointensity determinations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Fe ± σ Fe</th>
<th>$T_1 - T_2$</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>g</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>MAD</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>Drat</th>
<th>$\bar{F}_E$ ± s.d.</th>
<th>VDM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>am1</td>
<td>670D</td>
<td>19.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>400–570</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>24.6 ± 3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>671D</td>
<td>27.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>400–580</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>673C</td>
<td>27.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>400–570</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>674C</td>
<td>24.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>400–570</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am2</td>
<td>675E</td>
<td>22.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>400–550</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>24.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>680C</td>
<td>25.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>400–550</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am3</td>
<td>690B</td>
<td>30.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>400–580</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>32.8 ± 2.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>691C</td>
<td>34.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>400–580</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>692D</td>
<td>33.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>400–570</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>694D</td>
<td>34.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>400–570</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.798</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>695B</td>
<td>30.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>400–570</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am4</td>
<td>684E</td>
<td>42.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>400–550</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>46.9 ± 4.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>685E</td>
<td>47.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>400–570</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>686E</td>
<td>51.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>400–570</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample is an identifier of a sample used for the palaeointensity determination; Fe is palaeointensity estimate (in µT) for individual specimen, and (σ Fe) is its standard error; $T_1$ and $T_2$ are the minimum and maximum of the temperature range in °C used to determine palaeointensity; n is the number of points in the $T_1$–$T_2$ interval; f, g and q are NRM fraction, gap factor and quality factor, respectively (Coe et al. 1978); MAD is the maximum angular deviation calculated along with the principal component for the NRM left in the $T_1$–$T_2$ interval; α is the angle in degrees between the vector average and the principal component calculated for the NRM left in the $T_1$–$T_2$ interval; Drat is expressed in per cent and corresponds to the difference ratio between repeat pTRM steps normalized by the length of the selected NRM–pTRM segment (Selkin & Tauxe 2000); $\bar{F}_E$ is the unweighted average palaeointensity of an individual lava flow and its standard deviation and VDM is the corresponding virtual dipole moment (×10^22 A m^2).

Figure 9. Isochron 36Ar/40Ar versus 39Ar/40Ar and 37Ar/Ca/39Ar/K diagrams of whole rock sample 674B (flow am1). The bold line in the Ca/K diagram defines the degassing domain used for the isochron calculation.
experiments. The ratio after heating is defined by

$$Q'_L = \frac{M_{\text{TRM}}}{k_b H_{\text{lab}}}$$

where $M_{\text{TRM}}$ is the total TRM obtained by extrapolation of the data corresponding to the highest temperatures on the Arai plot, $k_b$ is the bulk magnetic susceptibility obtained after heating and $H_{\text{lab}}$ is the laboratory field. We observed that the two Koenigsberger ratios have similar values for the samples that gave reliable palaeointensity results (Table 5). This suggests that these samples are thermally stable.

The hysteresis parameters (Fig. 5 and Table 2) show that all of the samples have a behaviour characteristic of PSD grains. In natural samples, this is usually interpreted as being an indication of a mixture of SD–PSD and MD grains. We found that one of the advantages of performing the pTRM-tail test over measuring hysteresis parameters that is allows us to discriminate between MD, PSD and SD thermomagnetic behaviour. This test qualifies directly the TRM behaviour, contrary to the hysteresis curves which make tests on remanent or induced magnetizations of isothermal origin. Moreover, it seems that the measurement of hysteresis parameters as a function of temperature sometimes does not allow the detection of changes in domain structure with heating (Carvallo & Dunlop 2001). We note in Fig. 5 that the accepted samples (black dots) generally have higher $M_{\text{rs}}/M_s$ than the rejected samples (white dots). Thus, on average the better samples for palaeointensity are a little smaller in effective magnetic grain size.

### Table 4. Isotopic ages results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$, ±2σ</th>
<th>Isochrone age (ka ± ka)</th>
<th>MSWD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>am1</td>
<td>674B</td>
<td>290.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>26 ± 15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am2</td>
<td>676D</td>
<td>288.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>18 ± 9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am3</td>
<td>694F</td>
<td>290.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>48 ± 22</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Laboratory Koenigsberger ratios before and after heating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$Q_L$</th>
<th>$Q'_L$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>am1</td>
<td>670D</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am2</td>
<td>671D</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am3</td>
<td>673C</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am3</td>
<td>674C</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am4</td>
<td>675E</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am4</td>
<td>680C</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am3</td>
<td>690B</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am3</td>
<td>691C</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am3</td>
<td>692D</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am3</td>
<td>694D</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am3</td>
<td>695B</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am3</td>
<td>684E</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am3</td>
<td>685E</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>am3</td>
<td>686E</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample is the same identifier as used for the palaeointensity determination; $Q_L$ is the laboratory Koenigsberger ratio before heating; $Q'_L$ is the Koenigsberger ratio after heating.

**6.2 pTRM-tail test. A new tool for palaeointensity experiments?**

The main methodological originality of the present study is the use of pTRM-tail tests to select the most suitable portion of the NRM–TRM diagrams. Many authors (e.g. Shcherbakova et al. 2000) have suggested that recognizing the multidomain component in the palaeointensity experiment is critical for the exactitude of the final result. The presence of multidomain grains will invalidate the Thellier method if the unblocking temperature no longer equals the blocking temperature. Using the part of the Arai plot derived from multidomain behaviour can thus lead to large errors in the palaeointensity measurement. For example, Shcherbakov & Shcherbakova (2001) showed that, if one were to ignore the continuous curvature

![Figure 10. Comparison between thermal demagnetization of NRM and artificial total TRM.](image-url)
and fit a line to low-temperature data points of synthetic, purely MD samples, one could overestimate the true value by as much as 60 per cent. For the majority of the samples that we tested, the relative tails \( A(T_1, T_2) \) are very large when pTRM is imparted at low temperatures \((300 \, ^\circ \text{C} - T_{\text{room}} \) and \(400 - 300 \, ^\circ \text{C})\), but become smaller and smaller for higher temperature intervals Table 2, which led us to reject all points acquired below \(400 \, ^\circ \text{C}\) in calculating the palaeointensities. Shcherbakova et al. (2000) and Shcherbakov & Shcherbakova (2001) also observed a diminution of the pTRM tail with increasing temperature intervals, using both natural and synthetic samples.

In our case, this behaviour could be explained in several ways.

1. Our natural samples are composed of a mixture of grains having different sizes. It is possible that the MD part of the remanence carriers have lower Curie temperature, whereas PSD and SD grains carry the high-temperature remanence (Dunlop & Özdemir 2001). A simplified explanation of what is observed with the variations of \( A \) parameters is that mainly MD grains are magnetized when they are given a low-temperature pTRM \((<400 \, ^\circ \text{C})\), yielding high \( A \) values. For high-temperature pTRMs \((>400 \, ^\circ \text{C})\), mainly PSD/SD grains are magnetized and give very small tails. Another indication of the presence of MD material can be extracted from the pTRM acquisition curve: when the field is switched off during the cooling, the magnetic moment drops because of the presence of induced magnetization, which is more important for MD than SD grains. For example, the pTRM \((500, 400 \, ^\circ \text{C})\) acquired by sample 680 has a large tail (60 per cent), and the magnetic moment drops by approximately 50 per cent when the field is switched off at \(400 \, ^\circ \text{C}\) (Fig. 7). However, for sample 675, which has a tail for the pTRM \((550, 500 \, ^\circ \text{C})\) of only 2.2 per cent, the drop when the field is switched off is also much smaller (approximately 10 per cent of the remanence acquired at this temperature). This is a general trend observed for the ensemble of the pTRM acquisitions demagnetizations (Fig. 11), although a more precise correlation is difficult to establish.

2. Alternatively, one could argue that for the samples with high Curie points, the high values of the low-temperature pTRM tails might be only due to the fact that the pTRMs acquired in these intervals are actually very low. The difference between the magnetic moment measured after acquisition of a small pTRM and the magnetic moment measured after its demagnetization might then not be significant of any physical process but only reflect an artefact created by the accuracy of the measurement. However, the ranges of magnetization measured are in most cases well above the sensitivity of the vibrating magnetometer, so we can be quite confident that the measured values of \( A \) in Table 2 are physically meaningful.

From a practical point of view, the pTRM-tail test was not critical for these Amsterdam basalt samples. Before knowing the \( A(T_1, T_2) \) values, we selected samples and temperature steps for estimating palaeointensity from our Thellier data using conventional criteria, i.e. essentially items (1)–(4) described above in Section 4.3. The flow-mean values thus obtained do not differ significantly from those obtained by adding further data selection according to the pTRM-tail test. In retrospect, this is not unexpected because, the selected samples have 57–91 per cent (on average 81 per cent) of their TRM remaining after thermal demagnetization to \(400 \, ^\circ \text{C}\). Thus, the pTRM tails of the low-temperature points are too small to have an appreciable effect on the slope of the best-fitting lines of the Arai diagrams, that is, on the palaeointensity estimate. More difficult to understand is the low-temperature slope of sample 680, which has more than 30 per cent of its remanence below \(400 \, ^\circ \text{C}\). Its multidomain-size pTRM tails would lead one to expect a slope corresponding to a large overestimate of palaeointensity, but actually that for 680 is too low by 33 per cent. At this time we have no explanation for the departure of this sample from the behaviour expected for multidomain grains (Dunlop & Özdemir 2001; Shcherbakov & Shcherbakova 2001).

### 6.3 Implication for the excursional field characteristics

Studies carried out on absolute palaeointensity during the Brunhes period show generally a decrease of the VDM value when the colatitude of the VGP increases. This trend is illustrated in Fig. 12(a) in which we gathered Brunhes VDMs from the palaeointensity database PINT2000 using as the unique selection criteria the Thellier palaeointensity method. The VDM obtained in the present study, showing approximately a ratio of 2 between the normal and the excursional field, fit well in this general tendency. In Fig. 12(b), we have randomly generated 500 VDMs from the statistical model of Camps & Prévot (1996) for fluctuations in the geomagnetic field, using the model parameters proposed for the Icelandic data set. In this model, the local field vector is the sum of two independent sets of vectors: a normally distributed axial dipole component plus an isotropic set of vectors with a Maxwellian distribution that simulates secular variation. It is worth pointing out that the trend in the experimental VDMs for the Brunhes period is very well reproduced in this statistical model. We do note that this simulation also predicts some outliers data—high VDMs corresponding to excursional VGPs—as are sometimes observed in the Brunhes experimental data set (Fig. 12a) and as has also been reported recently for a Mid-Miocene excursion recorded in Canary Island lavas (Leonhardt et al. 2000).

Abnormal VGPs from the Amsterdam excursion tend to group over the Caribbean Sea (Fig. 13). The question of whether this cluster represents a long-lived transitional state of the field or is an artefact due to a rapid extrusion of successive lavas must be addressed. First, Watkins & Nougier (1973) concluded from geological field observations that the Amsterdam excursion seems to correspond to two successive departures of the VGPs from the present geographic pole, which recorded very similar VGP locations. They argued that one of the excursional flows (their flow 24) belongs to the old volcanic episode, whereas all the other excursional flows (their flows 13, 17–19), although they yield a similar abnormal direction, are
part of a more recent volcanic phase. We know from experience that stratigraphic correlations are often speculative in the volcanic area, hence we find their argument quite weak. However, we have no reason to rule out their conclusion since we did not carry out a field analysis. Next, we note that one of the excursional VGPs from the Laschamp event (Fig. 13b) also has a location within the Amsterdam cluster. Finally, we point out that Camps et al. (2001) have described a Plio-Pleistocene normal–transitional–normal excursion recorded in lava flows from Possession Island, a volcanic island located in the southern India ocean 2300 km southwestward from Amsterdam. Interestingly, this excursion is characterized by a clustering of transitional VGPs also located in the vicinity of the Caribbean Sea (Fig. 13c). Although this excursion is not radiometrically dated, we are certain from geological considerations that it is older than the Amsterdam excursion. Thus, the transitional field of at least two distinct excursions would have revisited the same VGP location. These observations suggest that the Amsterdam excursional cluster may represents a recurring preferred location for transitional VGPs such as that previously proposed (Hoffman 1992).

At present, the 40Ar/39Ar isotopic ages suggest that the Amsterdam excursion corresponds to a Late Pleistocene excursion. During this period, two geomagnetic events are described in the literature. The Mono Lake excursion, documented in several lacustrine sedimentary sections from the western USA, which is dated to ≈28 14C ka (Liddicoat 1992), and the Laschamp excursion, described for the first time in lava flows from La chaîne des Puys, Massif Central France, and dated to ≈42 ka (see Kent et al. 2002, for a review). If evidence for the Laschamp excursion is found in numerous deep-sea sediment records, this is not the case for the Mono Lake, which is only described jointly with Laschamp from high deposition rate sediments in the North Atlantic (Nowaczyk & Antonow 1997; Laj et al. 2000). At the present time, the existence of two geomagnetic excursions in the Late Pleistocene is directly questioned by Kent et al. (2002). Using new 14C dates on carbonates and 40Ar/39Ar sanidine dates on ash layers, they concluded that the Mono Lake excursion at Wilson Creek should be regarded as a record of the Laschamp excursion. Unfortunately, the large error bars associated with ours age estimate for the Amsterdam excursion do not allow one to bring insight to this debate. We point out here that our preferred hypothesis, taking into account the age of 26 ± 15 and 18 ± 9 ka obtained for Amsterdam excursional flows, is to correlate this excursion with a geomagnetic event younger than 30 ka, which could correspond to Mono Lake if we consider the former age estimate of 28 ka (Liddicoat 1992). This conclusion requires, however, a further confirmation with a more accurate age control, but if it is true, the Amsterdam excursion would represent firm evidence for a global occurrence of the Mono Lake excursion. Finally, it is interesting to point out that the original record of the Mono Lake excursion at Wilson Creek (Liddicoat & Coe 1979) shows, as the Amsterdam excursion seems to do, two successive excursional loops (Fig. 13a).

7 CONCLUSIONS

(1) We have identified an excursion of the geomagnetic field in the Late Pleistocene recorded in volcanic rocks from Amsterdam Island, Indian Ocean. Good quality alternating field demagnetization results show that two flows have excursional polarities with VGP latitudes of 15.2° and 21.2°, and two flows have normal polarities (VGP latitudes are 85.5° and 77.4°).

(2) 40Ar/39Ar dating did not enable us to specify precisely which excursion we had identified. Mono Lake is the most likely, but the large error bars in the final dates do not exclude the possibility for these rocks to have recorded the Laschamp excursion. Indeed, some authors believe the two excursions are the same (Kent et al. 2002).

(3) High-quality palaeointensity determinations show low VDM values for the excursional flows (3.7 × 10^22 and 3.4 × 10^22 A m²), whereas normal flows have VDMs close to the present-day VDM (6.2 × 10^22 and 7.7 × 10^22 A m²). These low values are in agreement with other VDMs determinations during excursions in the Brunhes period and corroborates the fact that the VDM decreases when the colatitude of VGP increases.

(4) For the first time, pTRM-tail tests from above were used as a selection criteria for the palaeointensity determinations. We found that most of our samples exhibit a tail characteristic of MD material for pTRMs given at low temperature and SD-like for
Figure 13. Location of the excursionial VGPs from Amsterdam Island, black squares, compared with (a) VGPs for the Mono Lake record at Wilson Creek (Liddicoat & Coe 1979), black circles, (b) excursionial VGP for the Laschamp excursion, black diamonds and (c) the excursional VGP from Possession Island (Camps et al. 2001), black triangles. The open symbols represent the location of the corresponding sampling sites. We plot Watkins & Nougier’s (1973) excursional VGPs (w2, w13 and w24) and the present study (am1-4) VGPs together.

high-temperature pTRMs. Therefore, we rejected measurements acquired at low temperature (>400 °C) for the best fit on the Arai plots.
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